

# THE OPEN GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP FOURTH OPEN GOVERNMENT NATIONAL ACTION PLAN FOR ALBANIA 2018 - 2020



## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

PREFACE
METHODOLOGY OF THE NATIONAL ACTION PLAN4
Integrated Planning System
Linkage of processes and development of the Action Plan 2018-2020
The institutional framework for the development of the National Strategic Framework for the Structural Reform System of the Government 2018-2020
CURRENT SITUATION9
COMPONENTS9
Open government for 'Better Regulation'
Open government for the public sector modernization and E-Governance
Open government for increasing transparency of government reporting and improve access to inform
Open Government for creating safer communities
Anex 1
Anex 2
Anex 3
Anex 4
Anex 5

#### PREFACE

The Government of Albania strongly supports the values promoted by the Open Government Partnership and stays firm in its mission to develop a public government which is rooted in the principles of transparency, communication and accountability.

Our vision for enacting the principles and objectives of an open government is based on the creation and application of transforming synergies between state actors, international partners, civil society organisation and Albanian citizens.

The Albanian Government acknowledged the need to place the Open Government Partnership within a more consolidated institutional framework, in order to increase its exposure within the country and ensure more opportunities could be provided for the mobilisation of human resources, technical and financial means in support of its commitments.

In this regard, the National Plan for Open Government Partnership 2018-2020 was developed and will be implemented through the Integrated Policy Management mechanism, which serves as the main forum for policy dialog between government representatives, partners for development and integration and civil society in the country.

This national plan encompasses important engagements in support of key processes of strategic development and European Integration of the country, such as better regulation and increasing transparency in governance, efficient management of public resources, improving citizens' access to services and fighting corruption. We remain confident that the implementation of the National Plan for Open Government Partnership 2018-2020 will serve for the transformation of the government in the country, pursuant to the principles of this worldwide initiative, as well as demands of the Albanian society and its citizens.

#### **Deputy Prime Minister**

Senida Mesi

#### I. Introduction: Integrated Planning System

Strategic Planning in Albania is based on the Integrated Planning System (IPS), which was initially approved by the Council of Ministers Decision No. 244, dated 21 April 2005 and subsequently with the Council of Ministers Decision No.692, dated 10.11.2005. The IPS aims to provide a comprehensive planning framework including all government policies and financial planning function in a coherent, efficient and integrated manner. The IPS consists in a principles framework and operating structures that enable the most harmonized link possible of all planning processes between them.

#### There are two basic processes where IPS is based:

- A mid-term, long-term strategic planning process, the National Strategy for Development and Integration (NSDI), which sets strategic priorities and goals;
- A mid-term budgeting process, the **Mid-Term Budget Program (MTBP**), which requires each ministry to draft a three-year plan within budget spending ceilings to achieve policy objectives in accordance with the NSDI;

### **II. Linking processes and drafting of Action Plan 2018-2020**

The methodology for the drafting process of Action Plan 2018-2020 (Open Government & Partnership) is based on the principle of full functioning of all existing mechanisms and processes related to the Integrated Planning System.

The drafting of the 2018-2020 Action Plan (OGP) is particularly supported in the best harmonization with the Mid-Term Budget Programming cycle and the National Plan for European Integration (NPEI) as well as the country's strategic programming (sectoral & crosscutting strategies).

In particular, Action Plan 2018-2020 (OGP) will harmonize:

- <u>Linking to the priorities of the Albanian Government and the NSDI II</u>, where the priorities set out in the Government's program as well as the priority sectors of the Government are an integral part of the vision and policies defined by the NSDI.
- **Full link between the MTBP and NPEI**, where activities, measures and commitments under the SAA are an integral part of the MTBP and NSDI.
- <u>Link to the policies included in the national sectoral and cross-sectoral strategies</u> of the country.

#### 2.1 Institutional Framework for the Action Plan OGP 2020

#### **Decision-making level**

# Integrated Policy Management Group on Good Governance and Public Administration: approves the Action Plan 2018-2020.

1. The IPMG of GG&PA - under the leadership of the Deputy Prime Minister - focuses on the priority

of the Albanian Government for 'Good Governance' at the central and local level, which forms the basis of the NSDI, aiming to improve the delivery of Public Services, improve open governance, improve public and private sector governance, democracy and rule of law. Strong and effective governance supports competitiveness and growth, because it provides a proper regulatory framework for business, social groups and citizens and is essential for EU membership. Good governance promotes public sector accountability and transparency, as well as effective decentralization and democracy at the local level.

2. The IPMG of GG&PA will coordinate policies and monitor implementation in this wider secto through the design and implementation of a Work Calendar covering measures related to the advancement of civil society, decentralization and local governance, public administration reform, public services, transparency & anti-corruption, statistics, e-governance and digitalization and support measures for Parliament.

#### **Technical Secretariat for IPMG & OGP**

The Department of Development and Good Governance /Unit of Policy and Good Governance Systems plays the role of the Technical Secretariat for the IPMG which coordinates the process for Action Plan 2018-2020. The Secretariat follows the progress of the process in line ministries and reports to the Prime Minister on various ongoing issues.

#### **Thematic coordination groups**

**Technical Working Group**: For central level coordination of key institutions and management of the entire process, work within the IPMG for Good Governance Thematic Groups.

Thematic Groups (ThG) are inter-ministerial working groups established under an IPMG to coordinate and monitor reforms and related actions in a particular subsector within the "broad-based" sector covered by IPMG.

The Integrated Policy Management Group for Good Governance and Public Administration covers ThG within the activity of the following areas.

Secretariat at technical/operational level IPMG & OGP is led by the Departement for Development and

Good Governance (DDGG/PMO), in the role of the Technical Secretariat and coordinates the work on:

- Preparing the Strategic Context chapter
- Preparing the chapter on Strategic Goals / Action Plan components

• Preparing the framework chapter of the monitoring proces

# Thematic groups at the technical level are guided by Lead Focal Point for each component and coordinate the work on:

- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposes and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapter

The Ministry of Finance and Economy guarantees the consolidation of the budget chapter. As part of the technical working group, the general budget directorate, the macroeconomic and fiscal policy directorate are involved in the process.

The Ministry of Justice guarantees the analysis of the legislative implications of the Reform as well as the necessary proposals in the regulatory framework in support of the Action Plan.

# **The Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs** guarantees the linkage of the National Plan for European

Integration (PKIE). In the process, as part of the technical working group, the departments in their function are closely involved: IPA programming issues, as well as the directorates on sectoral issues and EU integration.

#### **Coordinating structures in line ministries:**

- The Coordinator for the Action Plan: consists of a contact person for each line ministry that coordinates the methodology, calendar, processes, reporting and any other task assigned to it by the Technical Secretariat
- The Coordinator coordinates the work with the GMIP Secretariat and the Secretariat of the Thematic Groups for the finalization of the Action Plan
- This special structure reinforces the quality control for each document drafted and discussed by policy, program, project directorates, as well as efficiency and effectivenessforthe drafting process of the Action Plan, and is responsible for:
- Follow-up and implementation of the work plan for the drafting of the Strategic Framework for the System
- Reporting to the Minister and the Secretary General regarding the progress of the process and relation to the respective groups on the issues and solution,
- Guarantees coordination within the ministry to implement the Work Plan, in accordance with the Calendar for drafting the Strategic Framework,
- Guarantees the quality and timely delivery of drafts

## 2.1.1 Stages of the process on drafting the Action Plan 2018-2020.

#### Mobilizing the LM (June - July 2018)

Initially, the focus is placed on launching the process with line ministries and central institutions, as well as the preparation of relevant structures involved in the process. The main activities that take place during this phase are organized by the Technical Secretariat.

- Launching the process with a dedicated workshop (June 2018)
- Dedicated meetings with each line ministry.
- Organization of Thematic Groups Meetings:
- Thematic Policy Grouping (June September 2018)
- The E-Gov Thematic Group (June-September 2018)
- Anti-Corruption Thematyic Group (June September 2018)
- Public Finance Management Committee (June September 2018)

#### **Finalization of consultation and adoption in the IPMG Action Plan 2020** (October -November 2018)

The main institutional responsibilities at this stage are:

#### Department of Development and Good Governance, at the Prime Minister's Office

Prepare comments on the first draft policies and priority measures by line ministries (September-October 2018)

Review and prepare the draft for the consultation of the Action Plan (October 2018) Consultation with: interested groups and social partners, donors and civil society (October 2018) Development of indicators (June - September 2018)

Finalization of the draft and submission for discussion / approval in IPMG (November 2018)

#### Ministry of Finance and Economy:

- Conduct analysis of budget requests and organization of hearings with ML, in cooperation with theDepartment and MEPJ (September October 2018)
- Consolidating the budget chapters (September 2018)
- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparation of the Priority Action chapter for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)

#### Ministry of Justice / Thematic Group on Anticorruption:

• Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)

- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapters

#### National Agency for Information Society / Thematic Group for E-Gov:

- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the ActionPlan2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapters

#### **Ministry of European Integration:**

- Submitting comments on priority policies and measures (June September 2018).
- Provide final comments on the draft (September October 2018)

#### Line Ministries:

- Review of policies, objectives and priority measures (May September 2018), which should include all line ministry policy commitments related to:
- Government Program,
- Priorities of ML in the framework of Priorities,
- Stabilization and Association Agreement,
- Other Government Agreements and Commitments,
- European Commission reports
- Any other existing sectoral and cross-sectoral strategic documents,
- Design of indicators (June September 2018)

#### **COMPONENTS:**

#### **Present Situation:**

# 1 Open Government in order to Increase Transparency of Government Reporting and Improve Accessibility to Information:

The vision of the PFM reform strategy is to guarantee a system of public finances that promotes transparency, accountability, fiscal discipline and efficiency in the management and use of public resources for the provision of improved services and economic development

In the first half of 2018, MoFE launched the Mid-Term Review (MTR) of the 2014-2020 Public Finance Management Strategy. The MTR's objectives are to identify progress, achievements, challenges and gaps to plan the next agenda and SMART approach to PFM reform.

The mid-term evaluation report of the PFM strategy contains a brief description of the methodology followed, the progress of each component of the pillar strategy during the years 2014 to the first half of 2018; addressing the recommendations issued by international evaluation reports; setting priorities for each pillar as well as describing a new framework for revision of the strategy.

The Ministry of Finance, in the framework of Public Finance Management (PFM) activities, fulfilling budget activities/documents that are criteria and required by the Open Budget Index (OBI) so that the information on the budget is published on time, easily accessible and understandable to the citizens, has undertaken to continue further the progress on Budget Transparency.

Transparency is one of the eight basic principles of "Good Governance". According to the OECD, budget transparency is defined as "full disclosure of all relevant budget information in time and systematically". Transparency in public finances is a key element of governance, which leads to macroeconomic and fiscal stability, and determines higher rates of economic growth. In addition, it helps to improve the efficiency of public spending, while the increase of non-transparency leads to the reduction of fiscal discipline.

The Ministry of Finance has paid attention to the transparency of the budget, placing it in one of its priorities, materialized in the 2014-2020 Public Finance Strategy.

Lack of budget transparency leads to: lack of availability of required information, lack of public information, reduction of citizens' confidence in how public funds are spent, etc. Problems also arise when there is a lack of publication of the monitoring reports, mid-year report, year-end report, "Citizens Budget", which is a simple language pamphlet that illustrates the main aspects of the annual budget, which should published on time and of course, understandable to citizens.

However, despite the measures taken in this context, Albania, according to the "Open Budget Survey 2015" report, is among the countries that had declined in the state budget transparency, ranked first in the region, with a score of 38 points 100 possible. In addition, it is necessary to further advance with the improvement and increase of Budget Transparency.

**2.Open Governance to Modernize Public Services and Governance E-Gov:** E-Governance is today a tangible reality in Albania which is managed and coordinated by the National Agency of Information Society (below NAIS). Since 2013, NAIS has become the most important institution in the development and implementation of projects in the field of information technology and electronic communications. From November 2017, NAIS operates on the basis of the Decision of Council of Ministers No.673, dated 22.11.2017 "On the Reorganization of the National Information Society Agency", on the basis of which it provides policies, strategies and regulates the ICT sector, excluding the field of electronic communications. Since the beginning, this agency had a strategy, linking systems to one another and exchanging real-time data as a necessary step for facilitating the services and reducing the number of documents required by the citizen or business in the counters.

The governmental portal e-Albania, a project of the Albanian Government, which is based on the online institutional interaction, is the typical example of digitalization of state services, where facilitated direct communication means are provided for authenticated individuals in the system by avoiding therefore obstacles of a wide spectrum. The Albanian government, as a promoter of the transformation of physical services to online services, turned e- Albania into an example and managed to build a serious image of the state and restore citizens' trust in institutions. The e-Albania government portal, through various projects, has significantly increased the number of electronic services, with a total of 1363 services out of which 590 electronic services. The e-Albania portal has been created on the principle of the Open Government Partnership and is orientated towards the service to the citizens.

Nowadays, a large range of public services that were previously offered only in the traditional model, requiring submission to the respective offices, paper application, waiting in line, filling in forms, etc., are now taken electronically, with a counter, from a smartphone camera, a home computer. In this regard, all the technological achievements behind this, have to meet the goal of providing public services that consist in: simplification of procedures, electronic applications for public services, security, speed and functionality to the maximum of time and most importantly with 24/7 access regardless of location.

It is worth pointing out that after hundreds of electronic services that are offered electronically by the Albanian public institutions, lies a complex architecture (Governmental Interoperability Platform); a continuing process of building new systems, improving existing systems, digitizing physical archives, building physical support infrastructure, setting up specified platforms where electronic signatures / sealed documents should circulate, creating dedicated electronic archive capacities, equipment of all actors with electronic signature and seal in order to anticipate and involve all steps and links in this process. Concerning the Governmental Interoperability Platform (GG), which is the basic architecture that enables the interconnection of electronic registers with one another and the exchange of real-time data in a secure and reliable form, by guaranteeing electronic services to citizens, businesses and public administration, as a result of the investments made by NAIS during this period, 49 electronic systems are connected to GG and exchange data in real-time, unlike in the year 2013, where only 6 electronic systems were connected to GG.

An essential element in completing the e-Governance framework in Albania is that any administrative document such as certificates, certificates, testimonies, etc. have the same legal value as when they are generated by online state offices (or in this case, from the portal e-albania), as well as when physically handled on paper in their counters. Relevant legal and sub-legal acts approved by the Decision of Council of Ministers no. 495, dated 13.09.2017, "On the approval of regulations for the benefit of electronic public services", opened the way for the authentication of

the electronic seal, giving legal validity to the administrative documents generated through the e-Albania portal. Based on the decision, the sending and receiving of data is carried out in full compliance with the legislation regulating electronic identification and trusted services, whereas the stamping of electronic administrative documents, generated by electronic transmission, guarantees the authenticity of the document in electronic format. Since September 2017 when the electronic seal became legitimate, the e-Albania portal enables the download of administrative documents of legal value 24/7, by therefore making these documents available every hour of the day and every day of the week, regardless of the opening hours of the counters. At present, 33 documents with electronic seal are offered on the portal, a part of which are provided only electronically, eliminating their printing on paper at the physical counters of the institutions.

In addition to the electronic seal, another novelty of the portal is the use of the e-Albania portal for public administration employees to obtain certificates and documents that are used as portal services for completing the accompanying documentation of the service requested by the citizen and will no longer be required himself to him. Today, it is the employee of the public administration and not the citizen, the one who has the task of collecting documents with electronic seal from the portal e-albania and their attachment to the application file of the citizen. Simply said: the ordinary itinerary from office to office for a certificate, document etc., has come to an end. Over 2.6 million documents with electronic seal are easily obtained from citizens and businesses, eventually avoiding corruption and delays.

These are the rhythms that are bringing a transformation, from which benefits come not only to state institutions but especially to citizens and business, who are benefiting through this process and services raised.

These developments have affected the improvement of the Index of e-Governance Development (EGDI) which is an index that assesses the development of e-governance at the national level. In 2016, Albania ranked 82 out of 193 countries with regard to the EGDI index with a value of 0.5331. In 2018, Albania ranks 74th among states such as Hungary, Bulgaria, Croatia, etc. with index value 0.6519. From the three components of EGDI, according to the report the greatest impact on its growth came from the component of Online Services Index (OSI) with a value of 0.7361 in 2018, compared with 0.5942 in 2016. The e-Participation Indicator (EPI) is at 0.7584 level, making Albania approach countries such as Australia, Austria, Belgium, etc., with the e-participation index "Very High", from "High" as classified in 2016.

Albania has progressed faster compared to the timing of the process and the progressive expansion of services already offered in electronic form or systems interconnection.

In this context, NAIS has continued to work on identifying new public services that will be digitized, for the addition and promotion of electronic services for citizens, business and administration. The priority will be to increase transparency and improve public services in the public administration, as according to the principles of the Open Government Partnership initiative.

The Albanian Government is committed to working for a better, quality, open and transparent governance. Transparency is one of the three key priorities set out recently by the leaders of the

G8 countries. They signed in June 2013 some principles specified for "Open Data" in the "Open Data Charter" document. Open data support and encourages innovation and provide greater accountability for improving democracy.

The data is powerful, they affect transparency and help in the exact control of each activity. Many countries have launched programs to government transparency and public offices through the publication of data "Open Data" online. The development policies for Open Data have taken a rapid development in the last five years in different countries. Initiatives for the realization of open data are of different forms in different countries ranging from the development of specific portals and data in the framework of the development of e-government towards the most ambitious projects for having real "open data". The European Union has adopted several documents for the implementation of "Open Data" and has created the portal for open data at the EU level. Many countries have adopted action plans and national policies for the realization of open data.

In fact, with the increasing demands of the public for a transparent and accountable government and the ongoing efforts of the Government of the Republic of Albania to ensure a better and more open communication with citizens and civil society, NAIS, also within this Global Initiative, in 2016 has implemented the Electronic Register of Public Notifications and Consultations. The implementation of open data and the creation of a government portal for open data is an important government engagement, part of the action plan drafted under the Open Government Partnership (OGP) global initiative.

For the above, the National Agency of Information Society (NAIS), based on Law no. 119/2014 dated 18.09.2014 "On the Right to Information", as well as Law no. 146/2014 dated 30.10.2014 "On Notification and Public Consultation" engages in the construction of the portal opendata.gov.al, which through its three main modules will serve as an information window for the progress of the OGP project for Albania, as a consultation place among citizens and decision-making institutions in Albania, as well as a unique point of publication of open government data.

The main purpose of the implementation of 'open data' is a more efficient and effective governance, economic growth and innovation, transparency and accountability, promoting the reuse of public information while respecting the right to intellectual property and personal data protection. Through the creation of a government portal for open data, the aim is to increase transparency and increase public engagement in governance.

**3.** Open Government for Better Regulation: Policy and strategy development is based on the Integrated Planning System (SPI). IPS is the national decision-making key system for defining strategic directions and resource allocation (the World Bank refers to the Albanian SPI as one of the best practices in the region). The IPS's main goal is to draft a strategic, integrated, structural and accountable plan for Albania, including harmonizing the adaptation of existing planning and monitoring systems within the new system and reorganizing structures under the new system. IPS aims to avoid drafting ad hoc policies and strategies, avoid overlapping, and ensure compliance with financial planning processes.

The IPS institutional framework is further consolidated with the restructuring of the Prime Minister's Institution and the strengthening of the monitoring and strategic planning capacities in

this institution through the establishment of the Development and Good Governance Policy Unit at the Department of Development and Good Governance and the Regulatory Acts Programming Unit at the Regulatory and Compliance Department.

Information systems are being set up for different components of SPI. Since 2007, the Medium Term Budgeting System (MTBP) is functioning for all line ministries, while the Government Financial Information System (AFMIS), the Foreign Assistance Management Information System (EAMIS) and the Information System for the Integrated Planning System (IPSIS). Regarding the legislative process and the quality of the legislation, there are efforts to improve the analysis, plan the measures and their fulfillment. In general, the process of drafting the legislation is accompanied by insufficient transparency and lack of stakeholder consultation. In 2014, the Law on Public Consultation of Political and Legislative Acts was adopted, as well as a new law on the right of information, for which the necessary measures for full and qualitative implementation should be taken. In few cases there have been genuine ex ante and ex post assessments of the consequences of legislation.

#### Challenges:

Consolidating the framework of the Integrated Planning System remains a challenge. Moreover, it is necessary to consolidate the capacity of the new structures related to the functioning as a whole of the entire system mechanisms. Increasing system efficiency needs to be addressed through its consolidation.

Establishing information systems for IPS components requires a clear implementation of business core processes related to policy, budget, and investment planning. On the other hand, the capacity building of the institutions involved is necessary

In terms of improving the regulatory system:

Policies aim at strengthening the system of integrated planning, policy and legislation monitoring, reporting and evaluation, which transforms government priorities into concrete actions; increasing the transparency of government's strategic documents and monitoring them; enhancing the capacity of ministries to develop good policies and legislation in line with the acquis.

Policies in this sector will also aim at increasing efficiency in strategic planning and monitoring the performance of implemented policies; establishment of information systems for public policy and public finance planning systems; capacity building and improvement of horizontal coordination and cooperation between ministries, as well as within line ministries; as well as the establishment of mechanisms for controlling the effectiveness of legislation.

**4.Open government for the creation of safe communities:** Corruption is the main obstacle to the path of sustainable political, economic and social development of states. In 2013, Albania marked again for the third year last country side from the countries of the region in the ranking of the global corruption perception index, thus taking the mark as the most corrupt country in Europe. Decline - the rise of public perception over the last 10 years, objectively, coincides with committed

or lacking governance reforms. For this reason, the government considers public perception of corruption not only as an indicator of its (corruption) state of affairs but also as a measure of the success or failure of government policies and programs in the fight against corruption.

Against this background of facts and this political and social awareness, with the ultimate goal of modernizing governance in the country, the government is committed to uncompromising and zero tolerance against corruption by giving this public policy the priority it deserves.

The priority of the government in this area is best suited to the conditionality set by the European Union to open negotiations for EU membership. The fight against corruption is one of the five policies that our country is advised to follow with priority in the country's European integration process. Likewise, prevention and punishment of corruption is an obligation deriving from Albania's adherence to international instruments for the fight against corruption such as the United Nations Convention against Corruption, the Council of Europe Criminal Convention against Corruption, the Council of Europe tec.

In response to the country's development needs and legitimate expectations of our public and our international partners, the government aims to undertake an anti-corruption effort that includes a threefold preventive, punitive and aesthetic approach. Commitments undertaken and set objectives are in line with the obligations stemming from the Stabilization and Association Agreement and EC recommendations for Albania to join the European Union.

Corruption and its fight continue to be one of the major challenges and one of the major crosscutting priorities for Albania. During 2007-2010, governmental reforms have aimed at modernizing state administration and its work processes, thus contributing to preventing corruption in areas where corruption was at very high levels and where the impact on the country's economy was significant.

Thus, through regulatory reforms in the field of auditing, the creation of an electronic procurement system in order to increase transparency in the field of public procurement, increased the performance of the government in these areas, as evidenced by the composite indicators of various international institutions. Likewise, the establishment of the National Business Registration Center, the National Business Licensing Center, and the establishment of E-Tax and E-Customs systems, has considerably alleviated the relevant administrative procedures and reduced the personal contacts of service users / seekers with the administration, and has contributed to reducing the level of corruption in these sectors.

#### <u>Component I: Open Government to Increase Transparency in Government Reporting and</u> <u>Improve Accessibility to Information</u>

The policy objective of this activity is to guarantee a public finance system that promotes transparency, accountability, fiscal discipline and efficiency in the management and use of public resources to improve the quality of service delivery and economic development.

# COMPONENTS I: OPEN GOVERNMENT TO INCREASE TRANSPARENCY IN GOVERNMENT REPORTING AND IMPROVE ACCESSIBILITY TO INFORMATION

Leading institution		Ministry of Finance and Economy
Other steakholders Government		The Prime Minister's Office, the Ministry of Europe and Foreign Affairs, Public Procurement Agency, Public Procurement Commission, the High State Control, INSTAT, the General Directorate of Taxation and the General Directorate of Customs
	Civil society, private sector	
Status quo or problem / addressed	issue being	The vision of the PFM reform strategy is to guarantee a system of public finances that promotes transparency, accountability, fiscal discipline and efficiency in the management and use of public resources for the provision of improved services and economic development
		In the first half of 2018, MoFE launched the Mid-Term Review (MTR) of the 2014-2020 Public Finance Management Strategy. The MTR's objectives are to identify progress, achievements, challenges and gaps to plan the next agenda and SMART approach to PFM reform.
		The mid-term evaluation report of the PFM strategy contains a brief description of the methodology followed, the progress of each component of the pillar strategy during the years 2014 to the first half of 2018; addressing the recommendations issued by international evaluation reports; setting priorities for each pillar as well as describing a new framework for revision of the strategy.
		The Ministry of Finance, in the framework of Public Finance Management (PFM) activities, fulfilling budget activities / documents that are criteria and required by the Open Budget Index (OBI) so that the information on the budget is published on time, easily accessible and understandable to the citizens, has undertaken to continue further the progress on Budget Transparency.
		Transparency is one of the eight basic principles of "Good Governance". According to the OECD, budget transparency is defined as "full disclosure of all relevant budget information in time and systematically". Transparency in public finances is a key element of governance, which leads to macroeconomic and fiscal stability, and determines higher rates of economic growth. In addition, it helps to improve the efficiency of

Main objective / Purp policy	ose of the	<ul> <li>public spending, while the increase of non-transparency leads to the reduction of fiscal discipline.</li> <li>The Ministry of Finance has paid attention to the transparency of the budget, placing it in one of its priorities, materialized in the 2014-2020 Public Finance Strategy.</li> <li>Lack of budget transparency leads to: lack of availability of required information, lack of public information, reduction of citizens' confidence in how public funds are spent, etc. Problems also arise when there is a lack of publication of the monitoring reports, mid-year report, year-end report, "Citizens Budget", which is a simple language pamphlet that illustrates the main aspects of the annual budget, which should published on time and of course, understandable to citizens.</li> <li>However, despite the measures taken in this context, Albania, according to the "Open Budget Survey 2015" report, is among the countries that had declined in the state budget transparency, ranked first in the region, with a score of 38 points 100 possible. In addition, it is necessary to further advance with the improvement and increase of Budget Transparency.</li> <li>The policy objective of this activity is to guarantee a public finance system that promotes transparency, accountability, fiscal discipline and efficiency in the management and use of public resources to improve the quality of service delivery and economic development.</li> </ul>					
OGP challenge affected	by this meaure	Improving public services	More efficient management of public resources	Increas e public integrit	Increa corpor accou y	-	Creating a safer community
		X	X	y X			
It is important in order	to improve:	Transparenc y X	Responsibilit y X	Citizen F	Participa	ation	Technology and Innovation
	<b>D</b>					a.	E ID
Measurable and verifiable achievements to accomplish this measure	Result Indicators	Output Indicators	Responsible Institution	New orStartcontinuedDateengagementImage: Contemport of the second seco			End Date
<ul> <li>1.1 Establish a comprehensive statistical system covering the needs of budgetary and semi-budgetary entities.</li> <li>1.2 Creating standardized information</li> </ul>	<ol> <li>Improved statistical system</li> <li>The standard skid model</li> </ol>	Drafting of National Government Accounts according to International Methodologi es (ESA	INSTAT	plan 2014 Continuous 2014 engagement		2022	

1.3 Creating alternative methods for gathering information1. New methods2010 and GFS 2014)1.4 Integration of Additional Information6FS 2014)GFS 2014)1.4 Integration of Additional Information6FS 2014)FS 2014)1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information771.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information881.6 Compare the results and approve new information881.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement20141.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control system and to achieve the indexivesMFEContinuous engagement20142022		4.37	<b>a</b> 010 1				,
for gathering informationInclusionfor gathering informationInformation1.4 Integration of Additional Information on the Treasury System (AGFIS)3. Standard formats / models1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information3. Standard formats / models1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationmodels 4. Report on approved results1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal Risks1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC applications appliedMIFEContinuous engagement201420222014 of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFMC applications appliedMIFEContinuous engagement201420221.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFMC applications applicedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control system and to achieve the indications20142022							
informationCompare for the exchange of data 3. Standard formats / modelsFinancial Control approved resultsMFEContinuous engagement2014 20221.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of InformationStatement of approved resultsMFEContinuous engagement2014 20221.6 Compare the results and approve new informationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement2014 20221.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirementsMFEContinuous engagement2014 202220221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding of FMC frugts in public sector entitiesFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives2014 engagement2022		methods	GFS 2014)				
1.4 Integration of Additional Information on the Treasury System (AGFIS)       Ior the exchange of data       Ior the exchange of data         1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information       Standard formats / models       models         1.6 Compare the results and approve new information       Statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentation       Statement of Fiscal Risks       MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022         1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirements       FMC applications applied       All budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the       MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022		developed					
Additional Information on the Treasury System (AGFIS)       Standard formats / models       All sudget         1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information       models       All sudget         1.6 Compare the results and approve new information       Statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentation       Statement of fiscal risks       MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022         1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirements       FMC applications applied       All budget units will use the Internal Control       MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022         2014       2022       2022       2014       2022		for the					
Additional Information on the Treasury System (AGFIS)       data         1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information       A. Report on approved results         1.6 Compare the results and approve new information       approved Fiscal Risks         1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentation       Statement of Fiscal Risks         1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirements       FMC applications applied       All budget units will use the Internal Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the       MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022		exchange of					
Information on the Treasury System (AGFIS)3. Standard formats / models1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Information3. Standard formats / models1.6 Compare the results and approve new information8. Report on approved results1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirementsMFEContinuous engagement201420222014 engagement2014202220142022		0					
Irreatory System (AGFIS)formats / models1.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of 1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationReport on approved results1.6 Compare the resultsresultsresultsMFE1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal Risks1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementationFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirementsMFEContinuous engagement20142022201420222022							
I.5 Integration into New Templates for Exchange of Informationmodels 4. Report on approved resultsmodels 4. Report on approved results1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives20142022							
1.5 Integration into       4. Report on approved results         New Templates for Exchange of Information       approved results         1.6 Compare the results and approve new information       results         1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of inclusion in the budget documentation       Statement of Fiscal Risks         1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirements       FMC applications applied         1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entities       FMC applied         MFE       Continuous engagement       2014       2022         1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entities       applied       Financial Control requirements s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives       achieve the objectives       achieve the objectives							
Exchange of Informationapproved resultsapproved resultsapproved resultsapproved results1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationresultsMFEContinuous engagement201420221.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget 							
Informationresults1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationresults1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal controlMFEContinuous engagement2014202220142022202220222022		-					
1.6 Compare the results and approve new informationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementationFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control requirement s to improve the internal control requirement s to improve the internal control2014 engagement2022							
results and approve new informationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal controlMFEContinuous engagement2014202220142022202220142022	Information	results					
new informationImage: state interval of detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the InternalMFEContinuous engagement201420221.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve theControlImprove the internal control system and to achieve theImprove the internal control system and to achieve theImprove theImprove the	1.6 Compare the						
1.7 Drafting a detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationStatement of Fiscal RisksMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementationFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirementsMFEContinuous engagement2014202220142022	results and approve						
detailed statement of fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationFiscal RisksInt DengagementDo T1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesMFEContinuous engagement20142022	new information						
fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the InternalMFEContinuous engagement201420221.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC appliedAll budget units will use the InternalMFEContinuous engagement201420221.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesControl requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesContinuous engagement20142022	1.7 Drafting a	Statement of		MFE	Continuous	2014	2022
fiscal risks and inclusion in the budget documentationFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the InternalMFEContinuous engagement2014202220142022 </td <td>detailed statement of</td> <td>Fiscal Risks</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>engagement</td> <td></td> <td></td>	detailed statement of	Fiscal Risks			engagement		
budget documentationImage: Sector entitiesImage: Sector	fiscal risks and						
documentationFMCAll budget units will use the InternalMFEContinuous engagement2014202220142022202220142022201420222014applications appliedapplications appliedInternalFinancialInternalInternal1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesSolutions objectives20142022	inclusion in the						
1.8 Monitor public institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsFMC applications appliedAll budget units will use the Internal Financial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesMFEContinuous engagement20142022201420222022	budget						
institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsapplications appliedunits will use the Internalengagement1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesengagement	documentation						
institutions regarding the implementation of FMC requirementsapplications units will use the Internalengagement1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal controlImage and the image and the image and the image and to achieve the objectivesImage and the image a	1.8 Monitor public	FMC	All budget	MFE	Continuous	2014	2022
FMC requirements       Internal         1.9 Implementation       Financial         of FMC funds in       Control         public sector entities       requirement         s to improve       the internal         control       system and         to achieve       the         objectives       objectives		applications	units will		engagement		
1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesImage: Control Image: Control system and to achieve the the internal control the internal control to achieve the <br< td=""><td>the implementation of</td><td>applied</td><td>use the</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>	the implementation of	applied	use the				
1.9 Implementation of FMC funds in public sector entitiesFinancial Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectivesImage: Control Image: Control system and to achieve the the internal control the internal control to achieve the <br< td=""><td>FMC requirements</td><td>••</td><td>Internal</td><td></td><td></td><td></td><td></td></br<>	FMC requirements	••	Internal				
of FMC funds in public sector entities Control requirement s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives		1	Financial				
s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives			Control				
s to improve the internal control system and to achieve the objectives	public sector entities		requirement				
the internal         control         system and         to achieve         the         objectives			-				
system and to achieve the objectives							
to achieve the objectives			control				
to achieve the objectives			system and				
the objectives							
			objectives				
and results			and results				

### **<u>Component II</u>**: Open Governance to Modernize Public Services and E-Gov

The policy goal of this activity is the development of electronic governance and the provision of interactive public services for citizens and businesses.

COMPONENT II:	OPEN GOVE	RNMENT TO MODERNIZE PUBLIC SERVICES AND E-GOV GOVERNANCE
Lead Institution		The National Agency of Information Society
Other participants	Government	Line Ministries and their dependency institutions, local government, and independent institutions
	Civil society Private sector	Citizens / business / employees of public administration
Status quo or problem/ addressed	the issue to be	E-Governance is today a tangible reality in Albania which is managed and coordinated by the National Agency of Information Society (below NAIS). Since 2013, NAIS has become the most important institution in the development and implementation of projects in the field of information technology and electronic communications. From November 2017, NAIS operates on the basis of the Decision of Council of Ministers No.673, dated 22.11.2017 "On the Reorganization of the National Information Society Agency", on the basis of which it provides policies, strategies and regulates the ICT sector, excluding the field of electronic communications. Since the beginning, this agency had a strategy, linking systems to one another and exchanging real-time data as a necessary step for facilitating the services and reducing the number of documents required by the citizen or business in the counters. The governmental portal e-Albania, a project of the Albanian Government, which is based on the online institutional interaction, is the typical example of digitalization of state services, where facilitated direct communication means are provided for authenticated individuals in the system by avoiding therefore obstacles of a wide spectrum. The Albanian government, as a promoter of the transformation of physical services to online services, turned e- Albania into an example and managed to build a serious image of the state and restore citizens' trust in institutions. The e-Albania government portal, through various projects, has significantly increased the number of electronic services that were previously offered only in the traditional model, requiring submission to the respective offices, paper application, waiting in line, filling in forms, etc., are now taken electronically, with a counter, from a smartphone camera, a home computer. In this regard, all the technological achievements behind this, have to meet the goal of providing public services for public services, security, speed and functionality to the maximum of time and most imp

It is worth pointing out that after hundreds of electronic services that are offered electronically by the Albanian public institutions, lies a complex architecture (Governmental Interoperability Platform); a continuing process of building new systems, improving existing systems, digitizing physical archives, building physical support infrastructure, setting up specified platforms where electronic signatures / sealed documents should circulate, creating dedicated electronic archive capacities, equipment of all actors with electronic signature and seal in order to anticipate and involve all steps and links in this process. Concerning the Governmental Interoperability Platform (GG), which is the basic architecture that enables the interconnection of electronic registers with one another and the exchange of real-time data in a secure and reliable form, by guaranteeing electronic services to citizens, businesses and public administration, as a result of the investments made by NAIS during this period, 49 electronic systems are connected to GG and exchange data in real-time, unlike in the year 2013, where only 6 electronic systems were connected to GG.

An essential element in completing the e-Governance framework in Albania is that any administrative document such as certificates, certificates, testimonies, etc. have the same legal value as when they are generated by online state offices (or in this case, from the portal ealbania), as well as when physically handled on paper in their counters. Relevant legal and sub-legal acts approved by the Decision of Council of Ministers no. 495, dated 13.09.2017, "On the approval of regulations for the benefit of electronic public services", opened the way for the authentication of the electronic seal, giving legal validity to the administrative documents generated through the e-Albania portal. Based on the decision, the sending and receiving of data is carried out in full compliance with the legislation regulating electronic identification and trusted services, whereas the stamping of electronic administrative documents, generated by electronic transmission, guarantees the authenticity of the document in electronic format. Since September 2017 when the electronic seal became legitimate, the e-Albania portal enables the download of administrative documents of legal value 24/7, by therefore making these documents available every hour of the day and every day of the week, regardless of the opening hours of the counters. At present, 33 documents with electronic seal are offered on the portal, a part of which are provided only electronically, eliminating their printing on paper at the physical counters of the institutions.

In addition to the electronic seal, another novelty of the portal is the use of the e-Albania portal for public administration employees to obtain certificates and documents that are used as portal services for completing the accompanying documentation of the service requested by the citizen and will no longer be required himself to him. Today, it is the employee of the public administration and not the citizen, the one who has the task of collecting documents with electronic seal from the portal e-albania and their attachment to the application file of the citizen. Simply said: the ordinary itinerary from office to office for a certificate, document etc., has come to an end. Over 2.6 million documents with electronic seal are easily obtained from citizens and businesses, eventually avoiding corruption and delays. These are the rhythms that are bringing a transformation, from which benefits come not only to state institutions but especially to citizens and business, who are benefiting through this process and services raised.

These developments have affected the improvement of the Index of e-Governance Development (EGDI) which is an index that assesses the development of e-governance at the national level. In 2016, Albania ranked 82 out of 193 countries with regard to the EGDI index with a value of 0.5331. In 2018, Albania ranks 74th among states such as Hungary, Bulgaria, Croatia, etc. with index value 0.6519. From the three components of EGDI, according to the report the greatest impact on its growth came from the component of Online Services Index (OSI) with a value of 0.7361 in 2018, compared with 0.5942 in 2016. The e-Participation Indicator (EPI) is at 0.7584 level, making Albania approach countries such as Australia, Austria, Belgium, etc., with the e-participation index "Very High", from "High" as classified in 2016.

Albania has progressed faster compared to the timing of the process and the progressive expansion of services already offered in electronic form or systems interconnection.

In this context, NAIS has continued to work on identifying new public services that will be digitized, for the addition and promotion of electronic services for citizens, business and administration. The priority will be to increase transparency and improve public services in the public administration, as according to the principles of the Open Government Partnership initiative.

The Albanian Government is committed to working for a better, quality, open and transparent governance. Transparency is one of the three key priorities set out recently by the leaders of the G8 countries. They signed in June 2013 some principles specified for "Open Data" in the "Open Data Charter" document. Open data support and encourages innovation and provide greater accountability for improving democracy.

The data is powerful, they affect transparency and help in the exact control of each activity. Many countries have launched programs to government transparency and public offices through the publication of data "Open Data" online. The development policies for Open Data have taken a rapid development in the last five years in different countries. Initiatives for the realization of open data are of different forms in different countries ranging from the development of specific portals and data in the framework of the development of e-government towards the most ambitious projects for having real "open data". The European Union has adopted several documents for the implementation of "Open Data" and has created the portal for open data at the EU level. Many countries have adopted action plans and national policies for the realization of open data. In fact, with the increasing demands of the public for a transparent and accountable government and the ongoing efforts of the Government of the Republic of Albania to ensure a better and more open communication with citizens and civil society, NAIS, also within this Global Initiative, in 2016 has implemented the Electronic Register of Public Notifications and Consultations. The implementation of open data and the creation of a government portal for open data is an important government engagement, part of the action plan drafted under the Open Government Partnership (OGP) global initiative.

		For the above, the National Agency of Information Society (NAIS), base on Law no. 119/2014 dated 18.09.2014 "On the Right to Information", a well as Law no. 146/2014 dated 30.10.2014 "On Notification and Publi Consultation" engages in the construction of the portal opendata.gov.a which through its three main modules will serve as an informatio place among citizens and decision-making institutions in Albania, as we as a unique point of publication of open government data. The main purpose of the implementation of 'open data' is a more efficier and effective governance, economic growth and innovation, transparenc and accountability, promoting the reuse of public information whil respecting the right to intellectual property and personal data protection Through the creation of a government portal for open data, the aim is t increase transparency and increase public engagement in governance.				
Main objective / Purp	ose of the policy	governanc		provision of i		nent of electronic ublic services for
OGP challenge affected from this engagement		Improvin g public services	More efficient manageme nt of public resources	Increasing public integrity	Increasing corporate accountabil ity	Creating a safer community
		X	Х	Х	Х	
It is important for the in	nprovement of:	Transpar ency X	Accountabi lity X	Citizen participation X		Technology and innovation X
Measurable and verifiable achievements for the fulfillment of the commitment	Result Indicators	Output Indicator s	Responsib le Institution	New or continued engagement from the 2016-2018 action plan	Starting date	End date
<ul> <li>2.1.1 Developing the information section on the Open Government Partnership (OGP- OGP)</li> <li>2.1.2 Development of the "Open Data" section for transparency on the activity of public institutions</li> </ul>	Open Data Portal	Increased public engagem ent in governan ce	NAIS, LM/Agen cies	New engagement	2018	2019

<ul> <li>2.1.3 Identification and collection of data in the appropriate format from the public administration institutions</li> <li>2.1.4 Integration of data into the portal</li> <li>2.2.11 Implementing new electronic services on the e-</li> </ul>	Adding new electronic services to the	Improvin g evidence in order to improve policies and increase governm ent transpare ncy Increasin g access to public	NAIS, LM/Agen cies	New engagement	2019	2020
Albania portal 2.2.2 Data Exposures to the Government Interaction Platform	e-Albania portal	services for citizens, businesse s and public administr ation Reduce bureaucr acy, cost and time to citizens				
		and businesse s				

#### COMPONENT III: OPEN GOVERNANCE FOR "BETTER REGULATION"

The policy objective of this activity is the development of a public administration that provides high quality services to citizens and businesses in a transparent, effective and efficient manner, through the use of modern technologies and innovative services, and complies with European integration requirements through impartial, professional and accountable civil servants, part of the efficient structures.

A transparent policy-making, inclusive, policy-making system that ensures alignment with the acquis.

COMPO	ONENT III: OI	PEN GOVERNANCE FOR "BETTER REGULATION"
Leading institution		Department for Development and Good Governance, PMO
Other participants	Government Civil society Private Sector	Ministry of Finance and Economy, The National Agency of Information Society, Albanian School of Public Administration, Line Ministries, Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs Partners for Change and Development in Albania, Institute for Research and Development Alternatives, Institute for Co-operation and Development, Foundation "Open Society for Albania", Institute for Promotion of Social Economic Development
		Policy and strategy development is based on the Integrated Planning System (SPI). IPS is the national decision-making key system for defining strategic directions and resource allocation (the World Bank refers to the Albanian SPI as one of the best practices in the region). The IPS's main goal is to draft a strategic, integrated, structural and accountable plan for Albania, including harmonizing the adaptation of existing planning and monitoring systems within the new system and reorganizing structures under the new system. IPS aims to avoid drafting ad hoc policies and strategies, avoid overlapping, and ensure compliance with financial planning processes. The IPS institutional framework is further consolidated with the restructuring of the Prime Minister's Institution and the strengthening of the monitoring and strategic planning capacities in this institution through the establishment of the Development and Good Governance Policy Unit at the Department of Development and Good Governance and the Regulatory Acts Programming Unit at the Regulatory and Compliance Department. Information systems are being set up for different components of SPI. Since 2007, the Medium Term Budgeting System (MTBP) is functioning for all line ministries, while the Government Financial Information System (AFMIS), the Foreign Assistance Management Information System (IPSIS). Regarding the legislative process and the quality of the legislation, there are efforts to improve the analysis, plan the measures and their fulfillment. In general, the process of

	drafting the legislation is accompanied by insufficient transparency and lack of stakeholder consultation. In 2014, the Law on Public Consultation of Political					
	<ul> <li>and Legislative Acts was adopted, as well as a new law on the right of information, for which the necessary measures for full and qualitative implementation should be taken. In few cases there have been genuine ex ante and ex post assessments of the consequences of legislation.</li> <li>Challenges:</li> <li>Consolidating the framework of the Integrated Planning System remains a challenge. Moreover, it is necessary to consolidate the capacity of the new structures related to the functioning as a whole of the entire system mechanisms. Increasing system efficiency needs to be addressed through its consolidation.</li> <li>Establishing information systems for IPS components requires a clear implementation of business core processes related to policy, budget, and investment planning. On the other hand, the capacity building of the institutions involved is necessary</li> </ul>					
	Polic and 1 gove trans them	eies aim at streegislation mo rnment pric parency of g r, enhancing	engthening the nitoring, repor prities into government's the capacity o	system of inte- ting and evalu concrete act strategic docu f ministries to	egrated planning, policy ation, which transforms ions; increasing the ments and monitoring develop good policies	
	Policies in the	is sector will a		easing efficier	ncy in strategic planning	
		•		• •	licies; establishment of ance planning systems;	
	between min	nistries, as	well as with	in line minis	ination and cooperation tries; as well as the iveness of legislation.	
Main objective / Purpose of the policy	The policy objective of this activity is the development of a public administration that provides high quality services to citizens and businesses in a transparent, effective and efficient manner, through the use of modern technologies and innovative services, and complies with European integration requirements through impartial, professional and accountable civil servants, part of the efficient structures. A transparent policy-making, inclusive, policy-making system that ensures alignment with the acquis					
OGP challenge affected by engagement	Improving public services	More efficient manageme nt of public resources	Increase public integrity	Increasing corporate accountabil ity	Creating a safer community	
	Х	X	Х	X		
It is important to improve:	Transparen cy	Responsib ility	Citizen Parti	cipation	Technology and Innovation	
	X	X	2	X	Х	

Maaamahla and	Decult	Outrout	Deenenei	Name or	Ctant data	End data
Measurable and verifiable	Result Indicators	Output Indicators	Responsi ble	New or	Start date	End date
achievements to	Indicators	mulcators	Institutio	continued		
accomplish			n	engagement from the		
engagement			11	2016-2018		
engagement				action plan		
3.1 Drafting a	IPSIS goes	Methodolo	DDGG/I	New	2018	2019
methodology for the	live in 2019 as	gy	PSIS	engagement	2010	
preparation of	a functional	prepared	1010	00		
strategic documents	system					
(strategies, programs,						
action plans, policy						
documents, etc.).						
3.2 Drafting a legal		A complete	DDGG/I	New	2018	2019
package for the		regulatory	PSIS	engagement		
functionality of the IPSIS system		package drafted and				
IPSIS system		approved				
		(1 MCD				
		for IPSIS				
		as an e-				
		register; 1				
		MCD for				
		IPSIS				
		functionalit				
		y; 2 PM				
		Orders for				
		IPSIS modules in				
		connection				
		with the				
		preparation				
		of				
		strategies,				
		action				
		plans,				
		monitoring				
		reports,				
		programs; IPS				
		Calendar;				
		Amendmen				
		ts to the				
		MTBP				
		Guidelines)				
3.3 Improve the		Nr. of	DDGG/I	New	2018	2019
capacity of the		policy staff	PSIS	engagement		
Department of		trained in				
Development and		line				
Good Governance &		ministries				
line ministries in						

	1	1	1	1	1	
terms of monitoring						
policies / strategies /						
action plans /						
development						
programs, in						
accordance with						
IPSIS & AFMIS						
methodologies		D 1	DDGG	NT	2010	2010
3.4 Review of the	No. of high	Revised	DDGG	New	2018	2018
Legal Basis and	level	documents		engagement		
Regulatory	meetings for					
Framework for the	each IPMG					
Functioning of	in the pilot					
IPMGs and / or	-					
SWGs to Address	sectors and					
Challenges in	the					
Government	establishmen					
Structures and	t of new					
Change of Functions	IPMGs					
3.5 Preparation of		Droparad	DDGG	New	2019	2019
		Prepared	DDGG		2018	2018
standard tools (tool		Toolkit		engagement		
kit) for the						
functioning of IPMG						
for Good Governance						
& Operational						
Guidance / Progress						
IPMG (reviewing the						
regulatory framework						
for the functioning of						
IPMG for Good						
Governance)						
3.6 The systematic	-	Nr. of G.T	DDGG	New	2018	2018
functioning of the		meetings	DDGG		2010	2018
		-		engagement		
Policy Group's Policy		on Policy				
Group and the		Making				
establishment of a		N. Of				
policy-making		No. Of				
network with policy		meetings				
units in line		for the LM				
ministries		policy-				
		making				
		network				
3.7 Preparation of		Operational	DDGG		2018	2018
Operational		Guide				
Guidelines for line		Designed				
ministries for		2 conglica				
carrying out the						
public consultation						
process and						
functioning of the						

public consultation of						
e-register.						
3.8 Training /			NAIS		2018	2018
Capacity Building for						
Responsible Staff in						
Line Ministries for		No. of				
the Use of Public Consultation e-		trained				
Platform		staff				
3.9 Regular		The drafted	DDGG	New	2018	2020
monitoring of the		monitoring	DDGG	engagement	2018	2020
implementation of the		reports (the		engagement		
public consultation		first report				
process		prepared in				
1		ThM I				
		2019)				
3.10 Establish rules		Amending	RCD	New	2018	2018
that make the		MCD 584		engagement		
implementation of the		dated				
Impact Assessment		28.08.2003				
process mandatory		, adoption				
		on 08.2003				
		with amendment				
		s approved				
3.11 Strengthening		Number of	ASPA	New	2018	2019
capacities, through		trained	ASIA	engagement	2010	2017
various employee		employees		••••8••8••••••		
training (RIA		r g				
Network) in line						
ministries and the						
Prime Minister's						
Office, in order to						
improve the process						
of regulatory impact						
assessment	0/ of 101	Norr	DCD	Norr	Б	<b>.</b>
3.12 Improve the	% of legal acts drafted and	New	RCD	New	Every	Every year
planning process of drafting legal acts by	approved in	Design Procedures		engagement	year	
defining the general	accordance	TIOCEDUIES				
terms and conditions	with the					
of the PPAP drafting	General					
process	Analytical of					
	draft acts					

#### **<u>COMPONENT IV</u>**: Open governance for creating safe communities

The policy goal of this activity is:

- Control and denunciation of corrupt practices in public institutions by the Anti-Corruption Task Force
- Increasing the transparency of the National Coordinator against Corruption in monitoring the implementation of the Cross-Sectorial Anti-Corruption Strategy.
- Encourage citizens to use mechanisms for denouncing and preventing corruption
- Improving the handling of counter-corruption denunciations
- The strengthening of anti-corruption prevention mechanisms

#### COMPONENT IV: OPEN GOVERNANCE FOR CREATING SAFE COMMUNITIES

Leading institution		Ministry of Justice				
Other participants	Government	CAC /MJ ADB DPPSH ILDKPKI				
	Civil society Private Sector	"Open Society for Albania" SOROS INFOCIP				
Status quo or problem / addressed		Corruption is the main obstacle to the path of sustainable political, economic and social development of states. In 2013, Albania marked again for the third year last country side from the countries of the region in the ranking of the global corruption perception index, thus taking the mark as the most corrupt country in Europe. Decline - the rise of public perception over the last 10 years, objectively, coincides with committed or lacking governance reforms. For this reason, the government considers public perception of corruption not only as an indicator of its (corruption) state of affairs but also as a measure of the success or failure of government policies and programs in the fight against corruption. Against this background of facts and this political and social awareness, with the ultimate goal of modernizing governance in the country, the government is committed to uncompromising and zero tolerance against corruption by giving this public policy the priority it deserves. The priority of the government in this area is best suited to the conditionality set by the European Union to open negotiations for EU membership. The fight against corruption is one of the five policies that our country is advised to follow with priority in the country's European integration process. Likewise, prevention and punishment of corruption is an obligation deriving from Albania's adherence to				

Main objective / Purpose of the policy         OGP challenge affected by engagement         It is important to improve:		one of the major crosscutting priorities for Albania. During 2007-2010, governmental reforms have aimed at modernizing state administration and its work processes, thus contributing to preventing corruption in areas where corruption was at very high levels and where the impact on the country's economy was significant. Thus, through regulatory reforms in the field of auditing, the creation of an electronic procurement system in order to increase transparency in the field of public procurement, increased the performance of the government in these areas, as evidenced by the composite indicators of various international institutions. Likewise, the establishment of the National Business Registration Center, the National Business Licensing Center, and the establishment of E-Tax and E-Customs systems, has considerably alleviated the relevant administrative procedures and reduced the personal contacts of service users / seekers with the administration, and has contributed to reducing the level of corruption in these sectors.The policy goal of this activity is to control and denunciation the corrupt practices in public institutions by the Anti-Corruption Task ForceImprovin g public efficient manageme nt of public resourcesIncrease public integrityCreating a safer comporate accountabil ityXXXXX				
It is important to impro	ove:	Transpar	Responsibil	Citizen Partie	cipation	Technology
It is important to impro	ove:	Transpar ency	Responsibil ity	Citizen Partio	cipation	and Innovation
It is important to impro	ove:	-	<b>^</b>	Citizen Partio	cipation	and

4.1.1 Establish control groups	No. of control groups of control reports;	Improve the corruptio	CAC MJ	New engagement	April 2018	Continues
4.1.2 Draft a calendar / control plan	No. of the measures taken at the end of	n perceptio n index		New engagement	April 2018	Continues
4.1.3 Conduct audits in public institutions	the controls; No. of the cases referred to the prosecutor			New engagement	April 2018	Continues
4.1.4 Finding / compiling the audit report				New engagement	April 2018	Continues
4.1.5 Proposed						
measures 2						
<i>L</i>						
Main objective / Purpose of the policy		-	nal Coordina ntation of	ator against C	Corruption i	e transparency of n monitoring the Anti-Corruption
OGP challenge affected by engagement		Improvin g public services	More efficient management of public resources	Increase public integrity	Increasing corporate accountab ility	Creating a safer community
				X	Х	
It is important to impro	It is important to improve:		Responsibili ty		•	Technology and Innovation
		X	X	X		X
Measurable and verifiable achievements to accomplish engagement	Result Indicators	Output Indicator s	Responsible Institution	New or continued engagemen t from the 2016-2018 action plan	Start date	End date
4.2.1 Online CAC communications on TF CA control cases	CAC Reporting Publication of	Informin g the public	CAC/MJ	New engagemen t	April 2018	Continuing
4.2.2 Publication and Accessibility of publikut dhe grupeve të interesuara për gjetjet e kontrolleve të kryera	dhënave të kontrollit	about rastet e korrupsi onit me mjete audio- vizuale, etj		New engagemen t	April 2018	Continuing

3 Main abiastiva / Dum	age of the policy	The number	a of the pol	ion of this co			
Main objective / Purpose of the policy		The purpose of the policy of this activity is to encourage citizens to use mechanisms for denouncing and preventing corruption					
OGP challenge affected by engagement It is important to improve:		Improving public services	More efficient manageme nt of public resources	Increase public integrity	Increasing corporate accountab ility	Creating a safer community	
		Transparen cy X	Responsib ility X	Citizen Participation		Technology and Innovation	
Measurable and verifiable achievements to accomplish engagement	Result Indicators	Output Indicators	Responsib le Institution	New or continued engagemen t from the 2016-2018 action plan	Start Date	End date	
4.3.1 Periodic reporting of ADB on platform denunciations	Peridic ratio produced and made public	Public informatio n on corruption cases with audio- visual means, etc.	ADB	New engagemen t	October 2018	Continuing	
4							
Main objective / Purpose of the policy		The policy goal of this activity is to improve the treatment of denunciations against corruption					
OGP challenge affected by the commitment		Improving public services	More efficient manageme nt of public resources	Increasing public integrity	Increasing corporate accountab ility	Creating a safer community	
Te the test of tes		X	D "	X	X	X	
It is important to improve:		Transparen cy	Responsib ility	Citizen Participation		Technology and Innovation	

		X	X	X	<u> </u>	Х
Measurable and verifiable achievements to fulfill the commitment	Result Indicators	Output Indicators	Responsib le Institution	New or continued committme nt from the 2016-2018 action plan	Start date	End date
4.4.1 Improved handling of denunciations against corruption	Tracking, investigating and prioritizing treatment by specialized structures against corruption of citizens' denunciations Keeping comparable data on high- level corruption offenses Inter- institutional reconciliation for the criminal offenses of high-level corruption investigation	Increasing the number of complaint s against corruption in the administra tion; Increase of inter- institution al cooperatio n in investigati ng high- level corruption cases	DPPSH	New engagemen t	Trimester 4, 2018	2020
5						
Main objective / Purp	ose of the policy	The policy goal of this activity is to strengthen anti-corruption prevention mechanisms				
OGP challenge affected by committment		Improving public services	More efficient manageme nt of public resources	Increase public integrity	Increasing corporate accountab ility	Creating a safer community
<b>.</b>		X	X	X		X
It is important to improve:		Transparen cy	Responsib ility	Citizen Partio	cipation	Technology and Innovation

		X	X	Х		Х
Measurable and verifiable achievements to fulfill the commitment	Result Indicators	Output Indicators	Responsib le Institution	New or continued committme nt from the 2016-2018 action plan	Start date	End date
4.5.1 Completion of the procurement procedure and signature of the software development contract	The declaration of assets online by declaring subjects	The declaratio n of assets online by declaring subjects	ILDKPK I	New committme nt	Tremeste rI, 2018	Tremester I, 2018
4.5.2 Completion of procurement procedure and signing contract for hardware infrastructure					Tremeste r 3, 2018	Tremester 3, 2018
4.5.3 Software design					Tremeste r 4, 2018	Tremester 4, 2018
4.5.4 System development and infrastructure building					Tremeste r4, 2018	Tremester 4, 2018
4.5.5 Implementation, virtualization / termination of the operating system, installing						

## **Annex I: Structure**

### ACTION PLAN 2018 – 2020 OPEN GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP

The OGP is one of the most important instruments that promotes government transparency at the global level, increases civic participation in public life and uses new technologies to improve administrative efficiency and fight corruption.

The Action Plan 2020 document will have the following structure, according to the relevant chapters:

#### PART I: STRATEGIC CONTEXT

#### 1. Introduction

The introductory section explains what the Action Plan 2020 Document represents in the framework of strategic planning for Central Government Units (Integrated Planning System).

This part is compiled by the Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister' Office, the Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership.

#### 2. Global Initiative Context and Action Plan 2018-2020

This chapter contains two main parts:

- The first part is focused on a comprehensive analysis of the situation, regarding the feasibility of the 2016-2018 Plan against the main components.
  - This section is compiled by the Department of Development and Good Governance. To design this part will be used the Monitoring Pact for the Applicability 2016-2018.
- The second part will focus on a synthetic presentation of the four main components of the 2018-2020 Action Plan, which are related to the following:
  - Components I: Open governance to increase government reporting transparency and improve the accessibility of information;
  - Components II: Open Governance to modernize Public Services and E-Gov;
  - Components III: Open governance for 'Better Regulation';
  - Components IV: Open governance for creating safe communities;

This part is compiled by the Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister' Office, the Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership.

#### 3.1 Strategic Goals

Strategic Goals / Components of the Action Plan: will be presented the strategic goals / main components, where the Action Plan will be based.
 Components I: Open Governance to increase transparency in government reporting and improve accessibility to information;
 Components II: Open Governance to modernize Public Services and E-Gov;
 Components III: Open governance for 'Better Regulation';
 Components IV: Open governance for creating safe communities;

This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.

Components I: Open Governance to increase transparency in government reporting and improve accessibility to information: LFP: *Ministry of Finance and Economy* Components II: Open Governance to modernize Public Services and E-Gov: LFP: *The National Agency for Information Society* 

Components III: Open governance for 'Better Regulation'; LFP: Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister' Office, the Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership

Components IV: Open governance for creating safe communities; Ministry of Justice

#### **3.2 Indicators**

- The goals are described concisely for the medium term. Each component of the Plan may have one or more strategic goals, which must contain specific, clearly measurable, tangible and feasible results within a defined time frame and fully impacted by government activities.
- Extraction of the List of Indicators (if applicable). The list of indicators is presented in Annex 1. *This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.*

# PART II: POLICY GOALS AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

#### **4.** Policy Goals 1 – (Formulation of the Policy Goals)

This chapter is an essential part of the Action Plan. It presents the priority policies for each component. Each sector subordinates policies and policy objectives to achieving the strategic goals described in the foregoing chapter.

The emphasis in this section is to present policy goals and specific objectives, ensuring a logical link between policy goals for the sectors as well as specific objectives for the components of the Action Plan.

• This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.

Matrix of specific objectives and result indicators in accordance with Annex 2.

#### 4.1 Specific Objectives

#### Situation Analysis and Challenges

• The Action Plan document presents the specific objectives for each component. *This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.* 

4.1.1 Indikatorë Rezultati/Key outcome Indicator – formulimi, përshkrimi, vlera e synuar<sup>1</sup>;
4.1.2 Indikatorë Rezultati/Key outcome Indicator – formulimi, përshkrimi, vlera e synuar;
4.1.3 Indikatorë Rezultati/Key outcome Indicator – formulimi, përshkrimi, vlera e synuar;
4.1.4 Indikatorë Rezultati/Key outcome Indicator – formulimi, përshkrimi, vlera e synuar;
4.1.5 Indikatorë Rezultati/Key outcome Indicator – formulimi, përshkrimi, vlera e synuar;

## PART III: PRIORITY MEASURES FOR ACTION PLAN IMPLEMENTATION Priority measure

#### 5.1 **Priority measure 1**

The priority measure includes measures / investment projects and hard & soft measures, which directly contribute to the achievement of the policy goal identified in the foregoing section. *This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Pasaporta e indikatorëve të identifikuar
#### 5.2 **Priority measure 2**

The priority measure includes measures / investment projects and hard & soft measures, which directly contribute to the achievement of the policy goal identified in the foregoing section. *This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.* 

#### PART IV: ACTION PLAN BUDGETING

This chapter carries out an overall cost estimate for each component based on the measures / products presented. *This part is drafted 4 Lead Focal Point for each component and consolidated by Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister' Office, the Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership in collaboration with Ministry of Finance and Economy* 

## PART V: ACCOUNTABILITY & MONITORING & COORDINATION OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

This section presents an Action Plan monitoring system.

This section describes how to monitor the Action Plan by presenting the instrument used for monitoring (Progress Report of the Strategic Framework), as well as the drafting process, reporting mode, and report consultation.

Also, part of this section is the description of the performance monitoring mechanism.

# Annex II: Structure for the Lead Focal Point (Leading Ministries for each component)

**ACTION PLAN 2018 – 2020 FOR OPEN GOVERNMENT PARTNERSHIP** 

#### **3.** Strategic Goals / Components of the Action Plan

3.1 Strategic Goals

Strategic Goals / Main Components of the Action Plan: will be presented the strategic goals / main components, where the Action Plan will be based. Component I: Open government to increase government reporting transparency and improving access to information; Component II: Open Government to modernize public services and E-Gov Governance; Component III: Open Government for 'Better Regulation'; Component V: Open government for the creation of safe communities; *This section composes 4 Lead Focal Point for each component:* Component I: Open government to increase government reporting transparency and improve access to information LFP: Ministry of Finance and Economy Component II: Open Governament to modernize public services and E-Gov Government: LFP: National Agency for Information Society Component III: Open Government for 'Better Regulation'; LFP: Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister's Office, Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership Component IV: Open government for the creation of safe communities; Ministry of Justice

#### **3.2 Indicators**

- The goals are described concisely for the medium term. Each component of the Plan may have one or more strategic goals, which must contain specific, clearly measurable, tangible and feasible results within a defined time frame and fully impacted by government activities. Extraction of the List of Indicators (if applicable). The list of indicators is presented in Annex 1. This section composes 4 Lead Focal Points for each component.
- •

## PART II: POLICY OBJECTIVES AND SPECIFIC OBJECTIVES OF THE STRATEGIC FRAMEWORK

#### **4.** Purpose of Policy 1 - (Formulation of the Purpose of the Policy)

This chapter is an essential part of the Action Plan. This section presents the priority policies for each component. So, each sector subordinates policies and policy objectives to achieving the strategic goals outlined in the foregoing chapter.

The emphasis in this section is to present policy goals and specific objectives, ensuring the logical link between policy goals for the sectors as well as the specific objectives for the Action Plan components.

• This section composes 4 Lead Focal Points for each component.

Matrix of specific objectives and result indicators in accordance with Annex 2.

#### 4.1 Specific Objectives

#### Situation Analysis and Challenges

The Action Plan document presents the specific objectives for each component. This section composes 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.

4.1. Output Indicators /Key outcome Indicator – formulation, description, target value<sup>2</sup>;
4.1.2 Output Indicators /Key outcome Indicator – formulation, description, target value;
4.1.3 Output Indicators /Key outcome Indicator – formulation, description, target value;

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Pasaporta e indikatorëve të identifikuar

4.1.4 Output Indicators /Key outcome Indicator – formulation, description, target value; 4.1.5 Output Indicators /Key outcome Indicator – formulation, description, target value;

## PART III: PRIORITY MEASURES FOR IMPLEMENTATION OF ACTION PLAN Masat prioritare

#### 5.1 **Priority measure 1**

The priority measure includes measures / investment projects and hard & soft measures, which directly contribute to the achievement of the policy goal identified in the foregoing section. This section composes 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.

#### 5.2 **Priority measure 2**

The priority measure includes measures / investment projects and hard & soft measures, which directly contribute to the achievement of the policy goal identified in the foregoing section. This section composes 4 Lead Focal Point for each component.

#### PART IV: BUDGETING OF THE ACTION PLAN

This chapter carries out an overall cost estimate for each component based on the measures / products presented. This section composes 4 Lead Focal Points for each component and is consolidated by the Department of Development and Good Governance in the Prime Minister's Office, the Technical Secretariat for Open Government Partnership in cooperation with the Ministry of Finance and Economy.

### Annex III: List of Institutions involved by components for drafting Action Plan 2018 - 2020 & Linking to Sectoral Strategies ACTION PLAN 2018 - 2020 FOR PARTNERSHIP & OPEN GOVERNMENT

No.	Sectors for Drafting the Action Plan & Connection to Sectoral Strategies
	<b>Component I:</b> Open Governance to increase transparency of government Reporting and improving accessibility to information - Link to the Public Finance Management Strategy 2020;
Ι	It is managed by the Ministry of Finance and Economy, through the Committee for Public Finance Management
1.1	Subcomponent: Accounting (Public Finance Strategy, pillar 4)
1	Department of Treasury
2	Instat
3	General Directorate of Harmonization of Public Internal Financial Control
	Directorate of Treasury Operations
1.2	Subcomponent: Monitoring & Reporting of Financial Performance (Public Finance Strategy, Pillar 4)
1	Directorate for Monitoring and Implementation of the Local Budget Budget
1.3	Subcomponent: Accessibility of Information (Anticorruption Strategy: A1 & A2)
1	Ministry of Justice
2	The Commissioner for the Right of Information
II	Component II: Open Government to Modernize Public Services and E-Gov Governance - Link with the Public Administration Reform Strategy, Service Policy Document and Digital Agenda Strategy Directed by the National Agency for Information Society through the mechanization of the E- Gov Thematic Group, GMIP for Good Governance
1	National Agency for Information Society
2	Ministry of Defense
3	Ministry of Internal Affairs
4	Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs
5	Ministry of Finance and Economy

6	Ministry of Infrastructure and Energy			
7	Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth			
8	Ministry of Justice			
9	Ministry of Culture			
10	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development			
11	Ministry of Environment and Tourism			
12	Ministry of Health and Social Protection			
13	Ministry of State for Diaspora			
14	Minister of State for the Protection of Entrepreneurship			
15	Department of Development and Good Governance, Prime Minister Office			
16	ISDA/ ADISA			
17	ASIG			
18	DAP			
19	AKCESK			
20	Representatives of Local Authorities			
21	Representatives of foreign and domestic organizations			
22	Representatives of academic districts			
23	Representatives of interest groups			
III	<b>Component III:</b> Open Government for Better Regulation, connection with Public Administration Reform Strategy and Justice Strategy			
	Reform Strategy and Justice Strategy It is led by the Department of Development and Good Governance to the Prime Minister's			
	Office, through the mechanization of the Thematic Policy Grouping, IPMG of Good Governance			
1	Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister's Office			
2	Regulatory and Compliance Department at the Prime Minister's Office;			
3	Department of Resources, Transparency and Administration			
4	Ministry of Justice			
5	Ministry of Finance and Economy			
6	Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs			

7	Ministry of Health and Social Protection			
8	INSTAT			
9	EU			
10	Civil society			
IV	<b>Component IV:</b> Open government for the creation of safe communities, connection with the Anti-Corruption Strategy & Public Administration Reform			
	It is directed by the Ministry of Justice, through the mechanization of the Thematic Group of Anti-Corruption, GMIP Good Governance			
1	Ministry of Justice			
2	Ministry of Infrastructure and Energy			
3	Ministry of Education, Sports and Youth			
4	Ministry of Culture			
5	Ministry of Finance and Economy			
6	Ministry of Internal Affairs			
7	Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs			
8	Ministry of Environment and Tourism			
9	Ministry of Defense			
10	Ministry of Health and Social Protection			
11	Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development			
12	Ministry of State for Diaspora			
13	Minister of State for the Protection of Entrepreneurship			
14	Department of Development and Good Governance at the Prime Minister's Office			
15	Regulatory and Compliance Department at the Prime Minister's Office;			
16	Department of Resources, Transparency and Administration at the Prime Minister's Office			
17	The General Prosecutor's Office			
18	High Council of Justice			
19	EU			
20	Civil Society			

### ANNEX IV: Methodology for the drafting process ACTION PLAN 2018 – 2020 FOR OPEN GOVERNANCE & PARTNERSHIP

### I. Introduction: Integrated Planning System

Strategic Planning in Albania is based on the Integrated Planning System (IPS), which was initially approved by the Council of Ministers Decision No. 244, dated 21 April 2005 and subsequently with the Council of Ministers Decision No.692, dated 10.11.2005. The IPS aims to provide a comprehensive planning framework including all government policies and financial planning function in a coherent, efficient and integrated manner. The IPS consists in a principles framework and operating structures that enable the most harmonized link possible of all planning processes between them.

#### There are two basic processes where IPS is based:

- A mid-term, long-term strategic planning process, the **National Strategy for Development** and **Integration** (**NSDI**), which sets strategic priorities and goals;
- A mid-term budgeting process, the **Mid-Term Budget Program** (**MTBP**), which requires each ministry to draft a three-year plan within budget spending ceilings to achieve policy objectives in accordance with the NSDI;

II. Linking processes and drafting of Action Plan 2018-2020

The methodology for the drafting process of Action Plan 2018-2020 (Open Government & Partnership) is based on the principle of full functioning of all existing mechanisms and processes related to the Integrated Planning System.

The drafting of the 2018-2020 Action Plan (OGP) is particularly supported in the best harmonization with the Mid-Term Budget Programming cycle and the National Plan for European Integration (NPEI) as well as the country's strategic programming (sectoral & crosscutting strategies).

In particular, Action Plan 2018-2020 (OGP) will harmonize:

- <u>Linking to the priorities of the Albanian Government and the NSDI II</u>, where the priorities set out in the Government's program as well as the priority sectors of the Government are an integral part of the vision and policies defined by the NSDI.
- <u>Full link between the MTBP and NPEI</u>, where activities, measures and commitments under the SAA are an integral part of the MTBP and NSDI.
- <u>Link to the policies included in the national sectoral and cross-sectoral strategies of the country.</u>
- 2.1 Institutional Framework for the Development of the National Strategic Framework for the Structural Reform Governance System 2018-2022

#### **Decision-making level**

**Integrated Policy Management Group on Good Governance and Public Administration:** approves the Action Plan 2018-2020.

- 1. The IPMG of GG&PA under the leadership of the Deputy Prime Minister focuses on the priority of the Albanian Government for 'Good Governance' at the central and local level, which forms the basis of the NSDI, aiming to improve the delivery of Public Services, improve open governance, improve public and private sector governance, democracy and rule of law. Strong and effective governance supports competitiveness and growth, because it provides a proper regulatory framework for business, social groups and citizens and is essential for EU membership. Good governance promotes public sector accountability and transparency, as well as effective decentralization and democracy at the local level.
- 2. The IPMG of GG&PA will coordinate policies and monitor implementation in this wider sector through the design and implementation of a Work Calendar covering measures related to the advancement of civil society, decentralization and local governance, public administration reform, public services, transparency & anti-corruption, statistics, e-governance and digitalization and support measures for Parliament.

#### **Technical Secretariat for IPMG & OGP**

The Department of Development and Good Governance /Unit of Policy and Good Governance Systems plays the role of the Technical Secretariat for the IPMG which coordinates the process for Action Plan 2018-2020. The Secretariat follows the progress of the process in line ministries and reports to the Prime Minister on various ongoing issues.

#### Thematic coordination groups

**Technical Working Group**: For central level coordination of key institutions and management of the entire process, work within the IPMG for Good Governance Thematic Groups.

Thematic Groups (ThG) are inter-ministerial working groups established under an IPMG to coordinate and monitor reforms and related actions in a particular subsector within the "broad-based" sector covered by IPMG.

The Integrated Policy Management Group for Good Governance and Public Administration covers ThG within the activity of the following areas:

- Secretariat at technical level IPMG & OGP is led by the Director of the Development and Good Governance Unit in the role of the Technical Secretariat and coordinates the work on:
- Preparing the Strategic Context chapter
- Preparing the chapter on Strategic Goals / Action Plan components
- Preparing the framework chapter of the monitoring proces

## Thematic groups at the technical level are guided by Lead Focal Point for each component and coordinate the work on:

- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposes and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapter

**The Ministry of Finance and Economy** guarantees the consolidation of the budget chapter. As part of the technical working group, the general budget directorate, the macroeconomic and fiscal policy directorate are involved in the process.

**The Ministry of Justice** guarantees the analysis of the legislative implications of the Reform as well as the necessary proposals in the regulatory framework in support of the Action Plan.

The Ministry for Europe and Foreign Affairs guarantees the linkage of the National Plan for European Integration (PKIE). In the process, as part of the technical working group, the departments in their function are closely involved: IPA programming issues, as well as the directorates on sectoral issues and EU integration.

#### **Coordinating structures in line ministries:**

• The Coordinator for the Action Plan: consists of a contact person for each line ministry that coordinates the methodology, calendar, processes, reporting and any other task assigned to it by the Technical Secretariat.

- The Coordinator coordinates the work with the GMIP Secretariat and the Secretariat of the Thematic Groups for the finalization of the Action Plan.
- This special structure reinforces the quality control for each document drafted and discussed by policy, program, project directorates, as well as efficiency and effectiveness for the drafting process of the Action Plan, and is responsible for:
- Follow-up and implementation of the work plan for the drafting of the Strategic Framework for the System,
- Reporting to the Minister and the Secretary General regarding the progress of the process and relation to the respective groups on the issues and solution,
- Guarantees coordination within the ministry to implement the Work Plan, in accordance with the Calendar for drafting the Strategic Framework,
- o Guarantees the quality and timely delivery of drafts,
- Provides technical support to the Secretary General to carry out the activities

#### 2.1.1 Stages of the process on drafting the Action Plan 2018-2020.

#### Mobilizing the LM (June - July 2018)

Initially, the focus is placed on launching the process with line ministries and central institutions, as well as the preparation of relevant structures involved in the process. The main activities that take place during this phase are organized by the Technical Secretariat.

- Launching the process with a dedicated workshop (June 2018)
- Dedicated meetings with each line ministry.
- Organization of Thematic Groups Meetings:
- Thematic Policy Grouping (June September 2018)
- The E-Gov Thematic Group (June-September 2018)
- Anti-Corruption Thematyic Group (June September 2018)
- Public Finance Management Committee (June September 2018)

## <u>Finalization of consultation and adoption in the IPMG Action Plan 2020 (October - November 2018)</u>

The main institutional responsibilities at this stage are:

#### Department of Development and Good Governance, at the Prime Minister's Office

• Prepare comments on the first draft policies and priority measures by line ministries (September-October 2018)

• Review and prepare the draft for the consultation of the Action Plan (October 2018)

• Consultation with: interested groups and social partners, donors and civil society (October 2018)

• Development of indicators (June - September 2018)

• Finalization of the draft and submission for discussion / approval in IPMG (October - November 2018)

#### Ministry of Finance and Economy:

- Conduct analysis of budget requests and organization of hearings with ML, in cooperation with the Department and MEPJ (September October 2018)
- Consolidating the budget chapters (September 2018)
- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparation of the Priority Action chapter for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)

#### Ministry of Justice / Thematic Group on Anticorruption:

- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapters

#### National Agency for Information Society / Thematic Group for E-Gov:

- Preparing the chapter on Policy Purposals and Specific Objectives of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the chapter of the Priority Measures for the implementation of the Action Plan 2020 (according to the respective component)
- Preparing the budgeting chapters

#### **Ministry of European Integration:**

- Submitting comments on priority policies and measures (June September 2018).
- Provide final comments on the draft (September October 2018)

#### Line Ministries:

- Review of policies, objectives and priority measures (May September 2018), which should include all line ministry policy commitments related to:
- Government Program,
- Priorities of ML in the framework of Priorities,
- Stabilization and Association Agreement,
- Other Government Agreements and Commitments,
- European Commission reports
- Any other existing sectoral and cross-sectoral strategic documents,
- Design of indicators (June September 2018)

### ANNEX V: Working calendar on drafting the Action Plan 2018-2020

### **Open Government Partnership**

Activities	Timeline	Responsible Institution			
Finalizing the proces on drafting the Action Plan 2018-2020					
Sending out the official letter on the nomination of the national coordinators that will carry out the activities in drafting the Action Plan 2018- 2020	May-june	Technical secretariat/			
Formalizing the OGP network with the official contacts from the line ministries	May-june	Technical secretariat/LM			
Finalizing the Database with all the official contacts from the line ministries	June	Technical secretariat/			
<ul> <li>Drafting of the methodology and guide on the Action Plan 2018-2020 with the following docs:</li> <li>Annex 1: Structure: Action Plan 2018—2020 on Open Government Partnership</li> <li>Annex II: Structure for the Lead Focal Point (Leading Ministries for each component)</li> <li>Annex III: List of Institutions involved by components for drafting Action Plan 2018 - 2020 &amp; Linkeage to Sectoral Strategies</li> <li>Annex IV: Methodology for the drafting procesAction Plan 2018 - 2020</li> <li>Annex V: Kalendari i procesit të finalizimit të Planit të Veprimit 2018-2020 'Për Partneritet &amp; Qeverisje të Hapur'</li> </ul>	June	Technical secretariat/			
Sending out the official letter to the OGP coordinators with the methodology/ guiding materials	June	Technical secretariat/LM			
Launching Workshop with the coordinators for OGP, 4 Lead Components	June-july	Technical secretariat/LM			

June-july	LFP: Ministry of Finance and Economy ,Committee on Public Financial Management Strategy
	LFP: Thematic group on E—gov: National Agency for Information Systems , NAIS
June-july	
June-july	Technical secretariat of the Open Government Partnership- Thematic Group on Good Governance
June-july	LFP: Thematic group on anti-corruption- Ministry of Justice
	June-july June-july

Drafting of Part Two & Part Three Related to Policy Proposals & Specific Objectives Provided Priority Consolidated Measures	July-September	TS, LFP, LM
Drafting of the fourth part related to the budgeting of the action plan	July-September	TS, LFP, LM
Drafting of the monitoring doc	July-september	TS, LFP, LM
Discussion and consolidation of the draft of the Action Plan for Public Consultation	September- november	TS, LFP, LM
Draft Action Plan 2018-2020 incorporating the comments from the Civil Society	October- November	TS, LFP, LM
Approval of the Action Plan 2018-2020 on the IPMG for Good Governance	November- December	Integrated Management Group of Good Governance and Public Administration