



Open Government Partnership Independent Reporting Mechanism

Action Plan Review: Brazil 2021-2023 Comments Received

Comments Received from Danielle (11/24/2023)

| Comment on Section I: Overview of the 2021–2023 Action Plan |
|--|
| <p>If on the one hand there was an increase in participation, it is possible to discuss how this occurred in the co-creation process and how it (didn't) last throughout the implementation.</p> <p>I believe that the communication in the co-creation workshops, which involved presenting the activity and, for the most part, concepts and background, was not enough for many of the new participants to fully understand their role there and in the subsequent stages. There was also an institutional weakness in the case of some participants (personal vs. organisation ties in the commitments). This had a direct impact on civil society's engagement later on, along with the way some commitments were developed in practice and the results obtained.</p> |
| <p>Also to highlight: CGU, alongside the civil society WG, made an important effort to adapt the process to the online format, which is more challenging in the context of the Brazilian methodology.</p> |
| <p>Also to highlight: the duration of the plan significantly affected its potential impact and ambition. We know that political cycles interfere with implementation, but I wonder if one way of breaking with this isn't precisely by implementing actions that go beyond mandates.</p> |
| <p>Also to highlight: the duration of the plan significantly affected its potential impact and ambition. We know that political cycles interfere with implementation, but I wonder if one way of breaking with this isn't precisely by implementing actions that go beyond mandates.</p> |