Final Learning Exercise Summary Report

Santo Domingo de los Tsachilas, Ecuador – Plan de Acción 2021-2023

Mgs Franklin Gerardo Naranjo Armijo PhD(c)

Section 1. Local Context

The province of Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas, located in Ecuador, has undergone a dynamic political and socio-economic context that has influenced the implementation of local action plans. During the period in which the action plan was carried out, the region faced significant challenges related to budget allocations. There were moments of both increased and decreased resources, directly impacting the execution of key initiatives.

Additionally, changes in local administration and government reorganizations played a crucial role in the plan's implementation. Local and national elections, as well as the appointment of new leaders in government institutions, had an impact on the continuity and focus of the action plan. Adapting to these variations in leadership and management was essential to ensure consistency in policy implementation.

Furthermore, the region encountered unexpected challenges, such as the emergence of pandemics, natural disasters, and social movements. These unforeseen events tested the resilience of the action plan, requiring adjustments to address emergencies and ensure the continuity of priority initiatives. The responsiveness to these external factors was a critical component in assessing the overall success of the plan's implementation in Santo Domingo de los Tsáchilas.

Section 2. Action Plan Co-creation Process

2.1. Review of the Co-creation Process

The review of the co-creation process reveals both notable strengths and significant challenges in the implementation of this collaborative approach. Among the identified strengths, the ability to engage diverse stakeholders, including governmental and non-governmental actors, in policy formulation stands out. The inclusion of diverse perspectives in the decision-making process was particularly effective in addressing the complexity of the issues at hand. Additionally, transparent and open communication was observed, facilitating mutual understanding and fostering trust among the involved parties.

However, notable challenges emerged during the co-creation process. These include difficulties in managing differing opinions and resolving conflicts among stakeholders. These challenges impacted the efficiency of the process and, in some cases, led to delays in decision-making. Furthermore, areas were identified where the entity did not fully meet minimum requirements and recommended practices, primarily related to inadequate resource allocation and the need to strengthen monitoring and evaluation mechanisms to ensure long-term effectiveness.

Despite these challenges, innovative approaches to engaging non-governmental stakeholders were recognized, proving crucial to enriching the co-creation process. The importance of involving the community from the early stages was emphasized, contributing to the identification of specific issues and contextually relevant solutions. Overall, the review underscores the importance of addressing the identified challenges to enhance the quality and effectiveness of future co-creation processes within the entity.

2.2 Co-creation process recommendations

1. **Strengthening Conflict Management:** Implement a formal mechanism for managing divergences and conflicts among stakeholders, promoting effective dispute resolution and avoiding delays in decision-making. Best practices in facilitation and mediation can be adopted, such as establishing conflict resolution committees with equitable representation of the involved parties.

2. **Improvement in Resource Allocation:** Ensure adequate resource allocation to support the implementation of the co-creation process. This includes allocating specific budgets for key activities, such as training in negotiation skills and conflict management, as well as establishing a fund for emergent initiatives identified during the process.

3. **Encouragement of Community Participation from the Onset:** Involve the community from the early stages of the co-creation process to ensure a more comprehensive representation of local needs. Establishing direct communication channels with affected communities and facilitating participatory workshops can help identify specific issues and contextually relevant solutions.

4. **Establishment of Monitoring and Evaluation Mechanisms:** Implement robust monitoring and evaluation systems to measure the long-term impact of initiatives arising from co-creation. Setting clear indicators and periodically assessing progress will allow timely adjustments and ensure the sustainability of achieved results.

5. **Promotion of Transparency and Continuous Communication:** Reinforce transparency and continuous communication among all stakeholders. Establishing online platforms to share information, updates, and relevant documents from the process can improve mutual understanding and strengthen trust among participants, preventing misunderstandings and promoting effective collaboration.

Section 3. Action Plan Commitments

3.1. Review of Commitment Implementation

The review of commitment implementation, as outlined in the end-of-commitment report, highlights both notable aspects and significant challenges in the process. One of the key highlights was the identification of key opportunities that influenced commitment implementation. Effective collaboration among stakeholders, both governmental and non-governmental, proved essential in overcoming obstacles and making significant progress in commitment execution. Additionally, opportunities were identified to enhance citizen participation, contributing to a more inclusive implementation and the achievement of more impactful outcomes.

Despite the challenges that arose during commitment implementation, significant obstacles were not avoided. The lack of appropriate resources, both in terms of finances and personnel, emerged as a crucial hindrance that negatively impacted the effective execution of certain commitments. Furthermore, the need for greater coordination among involved entities was emphasized as a key challenge that resulted in delays in implementing specific actions. These challenges underscore the importance of careful planning and proper resource allocation from the outset of the process.

Regarding the design of commitments, it was observed that some were well-aligned with the expected outcomes of implementation. However, there were cases where the connection between commitments and achieved results was not as clear. This suggests the need for a more detailed review when designing future commitments, ensuring precise alignment with strategic objectives and expected outcomes.

Overall, the action plan achieved several desired results, positively contributing to the outlined strategic vision. Despite facing challenges, the review emphasizes the importance of learning from these experiences to enhance future action plans, focusing on efficient resource allocation, effective coordination, and precise alignment between commitments and outcomes.

3.1 Commitment Recommendations

1. **Rigorous Assessment of Previous Commitments:** Conduct a comprehensive evaluation of commitments implemented in the current plan to identify those proven to be most effective and serve as models for future action plans. This review will enable the selection of commitments that have generated positive impact, replicating them in the next cycle to ensure the continuity of successful initiatives.

2. **Establishment of Effective Monitoring Mechanisms:** Implement more robust and periodic monitoring systems to assess the progress of commitments in real time. Integrating key performance indicators and defining specific milestones will facilitate more effective supervision, allowing timely adjustments to ensure alignment with strategic objectives.

3. **Incorporation of Ambitious and Measurable Commitments:** Design more ambitious and specific commitments with clearly defined and measurable goals. Setting more challenging objectives will foster greater impact and enable a more precise evaluation of implementation success. Including quantifiable indicators will facilitate progress measurement and accountability.

4. **Promotion of Inclusive Commitments:** Encourage citizen participation in the identification and design of commitments, ensuring a more comprehensive representation of community needs and expectations. Including the voice of civil society from the early stages will strengthen the legitimacy of commitments and ensure they more effectively address priority issues.

5. **Flexibility and Adaptability in Implementation:** Integrate a flexible and adaptable approach in the design of commitments, recognizing the possibility of changes in the environment during implementation. Establishing mechanisms to adjust commitments as needed will allow a more effective response to changing situations, ensuring that the action plan remains relevant and effective over time.

MGS. Franklin Naranjo
EVALUATOR ENTITY IRM SANTO DOMINGO DE LOS TSACHILAS